

Bright Kids Academy

West Valley City, UT

2 Inspection Visits Since 2025 - 5 Findings

Most recent inspection: Nov 24, 2025

● 5 Important

Across 2 inspections since 2025, the issues cited most often were The Provider Was Out of Compliance with Rule R381-100-10(1)(a) by Not Ensuring the Single-age Group Had the Required Caregivers. During the Inspection, the Infant Room Had Six Infants Total Between Two Rooms with One Caregiver Present. This Is a Repeat Citation as Previously Notes on November 03, (2), The Provider Was Out of Compliance with Rule R381-100-11(1)(a) by Not Ensuring Active Supervision and Remaining Physically Present in the Room with the Children. During the Inspection, Four Infants Were Sleeping in a Room with the Door Closed Without a Staff Physically Present in the Room. This Is (2), and The Provider Was Out of Compliance with Rule R381-100-8(2)(d) by Allowing a Covered Individual to Become Involved with Childcare Before an Eligible Background Check Was Received. In the 3-5 Room, There Was a Covered Individual Without an Eligible Background Check Involved with Childcare. This Was A (1). None of the 5 findings were critical.

Inspection Visits

Nov 24, 2025 2 Findings ● 2 Important

- **The Provider Was Out of Compliance with Rule R381-100-10(1)(a) by Not Ensuring the Single-age Group Had the Required Caregivers. During the Inspection, the Infant Room Had Six Infants Total Between Two Rooms with One Caregiver Present. This Is a Repeat Citation as Previously Notes on November 03, R381-100-10(1)(a)-(c)**
- **The Provider Was Out of Compliance with Rule R381-100-11(1)(a) by Not Ensuring Active Supervision and Remaining Physically Present in the Room with the Children. During the Inspection, Four Infants Were Sleeping in a Room with the Door Closed Without a Staff Physically Present in the Room. This Is R381-100-11(1)(a)-(g)**

Nov 3, 2025 3 Findings ● 3 Important

- **The Provider Was Out of Compliance with Rule R381-100-10(1)(a)-(c) by Not Ensuring the Single-age Group Had the Required Caregivers, Not Exceeding the Maximum Group Size, and to Not Exceed the Number of Children in the Caregiver-to-child Ratio. In the Infant Room, There Were 6 Infants and Young Todd R381-100-10(1)(a)-(c)**

About this report

Childery generates this report from public inspection records published by the Utah Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Child Care Licensing. Childery did not conduct these inspections or produce the original state reports. Childery is an independent directory and is not affiliated with the Utah Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Child Care Licensing.

- **The Provider Was Out of Compliance with Rule R381-100-11(1)(a) by Not Ensuring That Each Caregiver Maintains Active Supervision of Each Child by Being Physically Present in the Classroom. In the Nap Room, There Was a Sleeping Infant Without a Caregiver Physically Present in the Room. In the Infant R**
R381-100-11(1)(a)-(g)
- **The Provider Was Out of Compliance with Rule R381-100-8(2)(d) by Allowing a Covered Individual to Become Involved with Childcare Before an Eligible Background Check Was Received. In the 3-5 Room, There Was a Covered Individual Without an Eligible Background Check Involved with Childcare. This Was A**
R381-100-8(2)(a)-(c)

About this report

Childery generates this report from public inspection records published by the Utah Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Child Care Licensing. Childery did not conduct these inspections or produce the original state reports. Childery is an independent directory and is not affiliated with the Utah Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Child Care Licensing.